

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached sheet of drawings includes changes to Fig. 1. This sheet, which includes Fig.1, replaces the original sheet including Fig. 1. A leader line is added for reference numeral "34" to the "Graphics Controller" in the middle of the page.

Remarks

In the First Office Action, the Examiner objected to Figure 1 for needing a leader line to reference numeral 34. The Examiner objected to claims 21 and 26 for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter.

The Examiner objected to claim 34 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The Examiner objected to claim 15 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter.

By way of this response, Applicants amend the specification, amend claims 15 and 21, and provide an updated drawing for Figure 1. Applicants believe that the application as amended places the application in condition for allowance.

A. Objections To The Specification

The Applicants amended the specification on page 5, at line 18; page 22, at line 13; and page 24 at lines 3-9 to correct minor errors in the specification. No new matter has been introduced by virtue of the present amendment.

B. Objection To The Drawings

Applicants amended the drawings as suggested by the Examiner. Applicants submit an annotated replacement drawing with amendment to Figure 1. Figure 1 is updated to include a leader line from reference numeral 34 to the "Graphics Controller".

C. Objections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Applicants amended claim 21 as suggested by the Examiner. Claim 21 should now be in condition for allowance. Claim 26 depends from claim 21 and therefore should also be in condition for allowance. Applicants amended claim 15 to instead depend from claim 10. Claim 15 should now be in condition for allowance.

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection of claim 34 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The Applicants feel that claim 34 is enabled in the specification on page 25, lines 14-15, which states, "A touch screen user input may comprise conductive film or coating to form a receiver/transmitter antenna" wherein "film" and "coating" teach a layer that is part of a screen and also forms a receiver, as claimed.

D. Conclusion

Applicants have made a genuine effort to respond to each of the Examiner's rejections. Applicants believe all formal requirements for patentability have been met and this case is in condition for allowance, which action is respectfully requested.

The Petition fee of \$490.00 is being charged to Deposit Account No. 02-3978 via electronic authorization submitted concurrently herewith. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayments as a result of the filing of this paper to Deposit Account No. 02-3978.

Respectfully submitted,

Carl A. Pickering

By /Matthew R. Mowers/
Matthew R. Mowers
Reg. No. 44,956
Attorney for Applicants

Date: December 22, 2008

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.
1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor
Southfield, MI 48075-1238
Phone: 248-358-4400
Fax: 248-358-3351